

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

## NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
 United States Patent and Trademark  
 Office  
 Box PCT  
 Washington, D.C.20231  
 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

in its capacity as elected Office

<b>Date of mailing</b> (day/month/year) 30 March 2000 (30.03.00)	
<b>International application No.</b> PCT/GB99/02623	<b>Applicant's or agent's file reference</b> 57.0273WOPCT
<b>International filing date</b> (day/month/year) 09 August 1999 (09.08.99)	<b>Priority date</b> (day/month/year) 15 August 1998 (15.08.98)
<b>Applicant</b> SMITH, Carl, Keith et al	

1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:

☒ in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:  
 02 March 2000 (02.03.00)

☐ in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:  
 \_\_\_\_\_

2. The election ☒ was  
☐ was not

made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer Juan Cruz Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38
---	---

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>57.0273WOPCT</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. <b>PCT/GB 99/ 02623</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>09/08/1999</b>	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) <b>15/08/1998</b>
Applicant <b>SOFITECH N.V. et al.</b>		

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 3 sheets.

☒ It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

#### 1. Basis of the report

- a. With regard to the **language**, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

- b. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

☐ contained in the international application in written form.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.

☐ the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.

☐ the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

2. ☐ **Certain claims were found unsearchable** (See Box I).

3. ☐ **Unity of invention is lacking** (see Box II).

4. With regard to the **title**,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the **abstract**,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. The figure of the **drawings** to be published with the abstract is Figure No.

☐ as suggested by the applicant.

☐ because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

☐ because this figure better characterizes the invention.

☒ None of the figures.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

National Application No

PCT/GB 99/02623

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 C09K7/02 C08F8/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C09K C08F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 306 449 A (SANDOZ AG ;SANDOZ AG (DE); SANDOZ AG (AT)) 8 March 1989 (1989-03-08) page 2, line 24 -page 3, line 6 examples A,B ---	1-8
X	DE 23 12 616 A (REICHHOLD ALBERT CHEMIE AG) 26 September 1974 (1974-09-26) page 6, paragraph 2 claims 1,2 A claim 3 ---	1-8
X	US 5 739 212 A (ROSENBAUER HANS-GUENTER ET AL) 14 April 1998 (1998-04-14) column 1, line 58 -column 2, line 44 column 5, line 10 - line 13 --- -/--	1,2,8,9. 11

☒ X

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C

☒ X

Patent family members are listed in annex

### Special categories of cited documents

- A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- X document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- Y document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- S document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

17 January 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

25/01/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx 31 651 epo nl  
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Mini, A

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/GB 99/02623

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document with indication where appropriate of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
A	US 3 332 872 A (DAVID T. OAKES) 25 July 1967 (1967-07-25) cited in the application the whole document ---	1-11
A	WO 91 16522 A (ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO) 31 October 1991 (1991-10-31) claims 3-6 -----	1-11

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 99/02623

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0306449	A	08-03-1989	DE 3728786 A	09-03-1989
			DE 3800091 A	13-07-1989
			AT 102222 T	15-03-1994
			AU 2158588 A	02-03-1989
			CA 1337005 A	12-09-1989
			DE 3888054 D	07-04-1994
			ES 2051889 T	01-07-1994
			FI 883950 A	01-03-1989
			HK 132297 A	03-10-1997
			JP 1092212 A	11-04-1989
			JP 2032792 C	19-03-1996
			JP 7059613 B	28-06-1995
			US 5158996 A	27-10-1992
DE 2312616	A	26-09-1974	NONE	
US 5739212	A	14-04-1998	BR 9304967 A	28-06-1994
			CA 2110884 A	09-06-1994
			CN 1089273 A	13-07-1994
			CZ 9302664 A	13-07-1994
			DE 4338867 A	09-06-1994
			EP 0601536 A	15-06-1994
			HU 68372 A	28-06-1995
			JP 6211949 A	02-08-1994
			NO 934434 A	09-06-1994
			PL 301354 A	13-06-1994
US 3332872	A	25-07-1967	NONE	
WO 9116522	A	31-10-1991	CA 2080906 A	19-10-1991
			DE 69121886 D	10-10-1996
			DE 69121886 T	23-01-1997
			EP 0525037 A	03-02-1993
			NO 923963 A	27-11-1992
			US 5292367 A	08-03-1994



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>7</sup> :</b> <b>C09K 7/02, C08F 8/00</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 00/09625</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 24 February 2000 (24.02.00)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/GB99/02623 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 9 August 1999 (09.08.99) <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 9817780.1 15 August 1998 (15.08.98) GB <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except CA FR US):</b> SOF-ITECH N.V. [BE/BE]; Rue de Stalle 140, B-1180 Brussels (BE). <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY [US/US]; 2030 Dow Center, Midland, MI 48674 (US). <b>(71) Applicant (for FR only):</b> COMPAGNIE DES SERVICES DOWELL SCHLUMBERGER S.A. [FR/FR]; 50, avenue Jean Jaures, F-92541 Montrouge (FR). <b>(71) Applicant (for CA only):</b> SCHLUMBERGER CANADA LIMITED [CA/CA]; 24th Floor, Monenco Place, 801 6th Avenue, S.W., Calgary, Alberta T2P 3W2 (CA). <b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):</b> SMITH, Carl, Keith [CA/GB]; 83 Appletrees, Bar Hill, Cambridge CB3 8SW		<b>(GB).</b> BALSON, Terence, George [GB/GB]; 49 Glenavon Park, Bristol BS9 1RW (GB). <b>(74) Agent:</b> MIRZA, Akram, Karim; Schlumberger Cambridge Research Limited, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0EL (GB). <b>(81) Designated States:</b> AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> SHALE-STABILIZING ADDITIVES		
<b>(57) Abstract</b> <p>A shale-stabilizing additive is described which comprises a copolymer of an olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbon and an ethylenically unsaturated carboxylic acid, carboxylic acid salt or carboxylic acid anhydride with alkylene oxide based side chains. The additive can be used for water-based service fluids (WBM) to facilitate the drilling or completion process in shales or clayey formations.</p>		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

### Shale-Stabilizing Additives

This invention concerns drilling or completion fluids,  
5 particularly water-based drilling or completion fluids. More specifically, it pertains to additives for such fluids. Even more specifically, the invention relates to additives used to prevent shales or clayey formations from adversely affecting well drilling or well completion operations.

10

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Drilling fluids are used in well drilling operations, e.g., during drilling of oil and gas wells. During drilling, drilling  
15 fluid is pumped down a drillstring, discharged through ports in the drill bit and returned to the surface via the annulus between the drillpipe and the surrounding formation. The drilling fluid performs a variety of functions including cooling and lubricating the drill bit and drillstring, removing rock  
20 cuttings generated during the drilling process and carrying them to the surface, suspending cuttings in the annulus when pumping stops, preventing squeezing in or caving of the formation and keeping formation fluids at bay.

25 Drilling fluids generally comprise a carrier, a weighting agent and chemical additives. Drilling fluids fall into two main categories: water-based drilling fluids, also known as water based muds (WBMs), in which the carrier is an aqueous medium; and oil-based drilling fluids, also known as oil-based muds  
30 (OBMs), in which the carrier is oil or a water/oil emulsion. Oil based muds are technically superior to WBMs in certain important respects, including the comparative lack of adverse reactivity of OBMs with shales, one of the most commonly encountered rock types during drilling for oil and gas. The use of OBMs, however,  
35 has the disadvantage of resulting in production of large quantities of oil-contaminated waste products such as cuttings that are difficult to dispose of in an environmentally



acceptable way. While the use of WBM is environmentally more acceptable than of OBMs, the performance of WBM, particularly when drilling through water sensitive rocks such as shales, is technically inferior to that of OBM. Shales exhibit great  
5 affinity for water, and adsorption of water by shales causes the shale to swell and produces chemical changes in the rock which produce stresses that weaken the formation, possibly leading to erosion of the borehole or loss of structure. This can lead to drilling problems such as wellbore erosion or stuck pipe. In  
10 addition, inferior wellbore quality may hinder logging and completion operations.

Much effort has been put into improving the performance of WBM relative to shales, namely improving the level of so called  
15 shale inhibition of WBM. Various chemical additives have been incorporated in WBM in attempts to improve shale inhibition. In particular water soluble glycols, polyhydric alcohols (i.e. chemicals containing more than one hydroxyl group) or polyglycols (i.e. chemicals made using alkylene oxides such as  
20 ethylene oxide or propylene oxide) are widely used for this purpose, typically being added to WBM in amounts in the range 3 to 10% by weight. These chemicals can be collectively referred to as Polyols. Polyols used in this way include, for example, glycerols, polyglycerols, glycols, polyalkylene glycols (PAG),  
25 eg polyethylene glycols (PEG), polypropylene glycols (PPG) and copolymers of ethylene and propylene glycols, alcohol ethoxylates (AET) and glycol ethers. A typical inhibitive AET is an n-butanol derivative of ethylene oxide. The PAGs can have a range of ethylene oxide: propylene oxide (EO:PO) ratios and can  
30 be random or block copolymers; a frequently used material of this type is understood to be a random copolymer with an EO:PO ratio of about 1:1.

Variants of polyalkylene glycols and alcohol alkoxylates are for  
35 example described in the International Patent Applications WO-96/24645 and WO-96/24646. Others are found in the European Patent Application EP-A-0495579, the United States Patents

US-A-4830765 and US-A-4172800.

A further source relating to the background of the invention is the Society of Petroleum Engineers Reports SPE 28960 (Mechanism  
5 of Shale Inhibition by Polyols in Water Based Drilling Fluids) proposing a credible mechanism that adequately describes how such polyols provide shale inhibition.

Copolymers of styrene and maleic anhydride and a number of  
10 derivatives are described for example in the United States Patent No. 3,332,872, for use as viscosity control agents. Hydrophilic-hydrophobic graft copolymers with polystyrene side chains for drilling fluids appear in the United States Patent  
No. 4,085,168. Other hydrophobically modified polymers based on  
15 polyacrylamide and hydroxyethylcellulose have been disclosed for example in the United States Patent Nos. 5,597,783 and 5,637,556.

Shale swelling is considered as a problem not only in the oil  
20 field industry. It is encountered as clay swelling in the mining industry, where this phenomenon causes severe difficulties when dewatering the mineral tailings.

In view of the above, it is an object of the invention to  
25 provide a novel additive for inhibiting shale swelling. It is another, more specific object of the invention to provide an additive for a WBM.

30

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION


The objects of the invention are achieved by shale-stabilizing additives as set forth in the appended independent claims. According to a first aspect of the invention, there is provided  
35 a shale-stabilizing additive comprising a polymer based on an olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbon with alkylene oxide based side chains.

Useful olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbons generally are aliphatic olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbons or vinylidene aromatic monomers.

5

Aliphatic olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbons include, for example,  $\alpha$ -olefin monomers containing from 2 to 28, preferably from 4 to 20, more preferably from 8 to 18 carbon atoms.

- 10 Preferred olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbons are vinylidene aromatic monomers. Suitable vinylidene aromatic monomers include, for example, those represented by the following formula:



- 15 wherein  $\text{R}^1$  is hydrogen or an alkyl group containing 1 to 4 carbon atoms, preferably hydrogen or methyl; each  $\text{R}^2$  is independently hydrogen or an alkyl group containing 1 to 4 carbon atoms, preferably hydrogen or methyl; Ar is a phenyl group or a phenyl group substituted with 1 to 5  $\text{C}_1$ - $\text{C}_4$ -alkyl substituents; and n has  
 20 a value from zero to 4, preferably from zero to 2, most preferably zero. Preferred monomers are styrene or styrene derivatives including  $\alpha$ -methyl styrene, the  $\text{C}_1$ - $\text{C}_4$ -alkyl- or phenyl-ring substituted derivatives of styrene, such as ortho-, meta-, and para-methylstyrene, para-vinyl toluene or mixtures  
 25 thereof. The most preferred vinylidene aromatic monomer is styrene.

- The polymer is preferably a copolymer of an above-described olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbon and an ethylenically  
 30 unsaturated carboxylic acid, carboxylic acid salt or carboxylic acid anhydride with alkylene oxide based side chains. Preferred olefinically unsaturated carboxylic acids contain 2 to 12, more preferably 2 to 6, carbon atoms in addition to the carboxyl group(s). They are preferably monocarboxylic acids or,

more preferably, dicarboxylic acids. Preferred examples thereof are acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, fumaric acid, maleic acid, the salts thereof, or maleic anhydride. Most preferably, maleic acid, maleic anhydride and/or a maleic acid salt is incorporated  
5 in the polymer. The alkali metal salts, particularly the sodium salt, are the preferred carboxylic acid salts.


Alkylene oxide based side chains can be introduced into the polymer by esterification of carboxylic groups or anhydride  
10 groups with a polyoxyalkylated compound, such as a polyoxyalkylated alcohol. The esterification step can be carried out before or after the polymerization reaction of the dicarboxylic acid (salt) or the anhydride with the vinylidene aromatic monomer. The polymerization reaction and the  
15 esterification step are generally known in the art. Preferably 2 to 75 percent, more preferably 20 to 60 percent, most preferably about 50 percent of the total number of carboxyl carbon atoms in the polymer are esterified.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the polymer is a  
20 copolymer of styrene or a styrene derivative and maleic anhydride with alkylene oxide based side chains.

The molecular weight of the additive is preferably from 5000 g/mol to 100,000 g/mol, more preferably from 10,000 g/mol to  
25 30,000 g/mol. The preferred weight of the alkylene oxide based side chain in accordance with the invention is above 200 g/mol and below 1500 g/mol, more preferably 300-600 g/mol.

The number of alkoxyate groups, e.g.  $-\text{CH}_2-\text{CHR}-\text{O}-$  with R being H,  
30  $\text{CH}_3$ , or  $\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}_3$ , per side chain is preferably up to 60 units.

A preferred molecule in accordance with the invention has the molecular structure:



M in each occurrence independently is hydrogen or

$-\text{[CH}_2\text{-CHR-O-]}_n\text{-CH}_3$  with R being CH<sub>3</sub> or CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub> or, preferably,

5 hydrogen, with the proviso that at least some of the radicals M have the meaning of  $-\text{[CH}_2\text{-CHR-O-]}_n\text{-CH}_3$ . X and Y each

independently are from 1 to 100. The total of X and Y should generally be greater than 4 and the invention works best if the total of X and Y is greater than 50. The ratio of X : Y is

10 preferably from 1 : 3 to 3 : 1, more preferably about 1 : 1.

The value of n is from 3 to 70, preferably greater than 4 and less than 60. More preferably, n is 7-25.

The above-described polymer is preferably used as an additive in  
15 water-based drilling fluids (WBM) or completion fluids or in dewatering operations for mineral tailings.

In another aspect, the invention concerns a drilling fluid with  
0.1 to 10 weight per cent (wt%) of the polymer as characterized  
20 before. More preferably, the polymer are used at 2 to 7 wt%.

The additives in accordance with the invention provide higher levels of shale swelling inhibition in the presence of weakly hydrated cations, such as potassium, cesium, and ammonium.

25 Inhibition can be obtained solely from using the additives in freshwater or preferably in combination with salts containing for example sodium, calcium, magnesium, or potassium ions.

It can also be expected that the novel additives can improve current methods of dewatering mineral tailings in the mining industry, as today this process is severely hampered by clay swelling.

5

These and other features of the invention, preferred embodiments and variants thereof, and further advantages of the invention will become appreciated and understood by those skilled in the art from the detailed description following below.

10

#### EXAMPLE(S) FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

15 The preparation of the chemicals compounds used in the following examples as additives is known. Following the preparation steps laid out for example by H. Dérand et al. in *Macromolecules* 29 (1996), 8770-8775 and by A. Eckert and S.E. Weber in *Macromolecules* 29 (1996), 560-567, copolymers of styrene and  
20 maleic acid (SMACs) can be grafted with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEGs) or derivatives, thereof.

The shale inhibition capabilities of additives can be assessed by a number of laboratory techniques such as cuttings

25 dispersion, cuttings hardness and shale swelling tests. These tests are suitable for rapid screening of new additives and are widely used in the industry.

Shale recovery from bottle rolling tests have been used to  
30 measure the shale inhibition properties. Tests were done using Oxford Clay cuttings (a water sensitive shale) sieved to 2 - 4 mm. Briefly, the test is a modification of a standard oilfield cuttings dispersion test and consists of adding 10 g of test substrate (Oxford Clay) with 100 ml of test fluid in a 300 ml  
35 bottle. The test samples are rolled at 50 rpm for 4 hours. This encourages breakdown and dispersion of the cuttings if they become soft due to interaction with the test fluid. The shale

cuttings are sieved through a 250 micron screen and the amount of shale recovered is measured after drying in an oven. The poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) used in these tests had a molecular weight of about 600 g/mol.

5

Bottle rolling tests were done with 5 % w/v solutions of the invention in 7.6 % (Table 1) and 12.9 % w/w KCl (Table 2). The grafted copolymer used in both of these cases was the same. The backbone consisted of an alternating copolymer of styrene and maleic anhydride (SMAC) with grafted poly(ethylene glycol) monomethyl ethers (MPEG 500) side chains of about 500 g/mol. A significant increase in the amount of shale recovered was observed when the invention was used over that of PEG / KCl. A comparison of the results of Table 1 and 2 shows that the amount of shale recovered by the invention increased with the amount of KCl.

TABLE 1. Shale recovery results from bottle rolling tests with Oxford clay cuttings for polyglycol and SMAC grafted copolymer in 7.6 % w/w KCl.

Sample	% Shale Recovery
-----	-----
7.6 % KCl	20
25 PEG	39
SMAC (MPEG 500)	64

TABLE 2. Shale recovery results from bottle rolling tests with Oxford clay cuttings for PEG and SMAC copolymer with MPEG 500 grafts in 12.9 % w/w KCl.

Sample	% Shale Recovery
-----	-----
12.9 % KCl	29
35 PEG	51
SMAC (MPEG 500)	91

The amount of shale recovery of the invention as a function of the grafted MPEG chain length is shown in Table 3. The results show that all MPEG variations of the invention show improved shale recovery compared to that of polyethylene oxide and that the best results are obtained for copolymers with MPEG grafts with molecular weight of about 300 to 400 g/mol.

TABLE 3. Shale recovery results from bottle rolling tests with Oxford clay cuttings for polyglycol and grafted copolymer in 7.6 % w/w KCl where the molecular weight of graft polyethylene oxide varies from 200 to 1500 g/mol.

Sample	% Shale Recovery
-----	-----
15 7.6 % KCl only	25
PEG	38
SMAC MPEG 200	54
SMAC MPEG 300	87
SMAC MPEG 400	85
20 SMAC MPEG 500	72
SMAC MPEG 600	69
SMAC MPEG 750	70
SMAC MPEG 1100	66
SMAC MPEG 1500	49

Table 4 shows bottle rolling test results in 12.9 % w/w KCl that include a polymer that consists of a backbone with 2 styrene units for every maleic anhydride unit. MPEG 500 was used as the grafting polymer. The results show that increasing the amount of styrene in the backbone increased the amount of shale recovered.

TABLE 4. Shale recovery results from bottle rolling tests with Oxford clay cuttings for polyglycol and grafted copolymer in 12.9 % w/w KCl. The backbone of SMAC 2:1 Styrene MPEG 500 was composed of 2 styrene units for every maleic anhydride. The grafts consisted of MPEG 500.

Sample	% Shale Recovery
-----	-----



- 10 -

12.9 % KCl	27
PEG	53
SMAC MPEG 500	85
SMAC 2:1 Styrene MPEG 500	95

5

The effect of drilling fluid additives on reactive shales can also be assessed by the Hassler Cell test. Briefly, fluids are pumped through a confined Oxford Clay core perpendicular to the bedding planes at high pressure and the permeability of the core to the test fluid is measured and compared with that of a synthetic pore fluid. In general, greater shale inhibition can be achieved by reducing the permeability of the test fluid within the core. For instance, the permeability of oil based muds (OBM) is much lower than the pore fluid due, in part, to the change in wettability caused by OBM surfactants. Inhibitive water based drilling fluids also show significant reductions in fluid permeability. PEG / KCl based fluids show permeability reductions from 11 to 46 % and silicate based systems show reductions of between 65 - 80 %. The permeability reduction caused by the invention (SMAC MPEG 500) was about 96.9 %. This is the greatest amount of reduction for a water based fluid observed to date and is equal to that of an oil based drilling fluid.

25

TABLE 5. Flow Rate Changes in Oxford Clay Cores by Various Fluids in Hassler Cell Tests.

Fluid type	Flow Rate before [g/hr]	Flow Rate after [g/hr]	% Original Rate
-----	-----	-----	-----
10 % KCl	0.043	0.061	142
PEG / KCl	0.037	0.033	89
35 PEG-C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>26</sub> / KCl	0.037	0.020	54
KCl / Silicate	0.052	0.010	19
NaCl then			
KCl / Silicate	0.068	0.024	35
70:30 OBM	0.036	0.0024	6.6

SMAC (MPEG 500) /KCl      0.1397              0.0043              3.1

Improved shale inhibition is exhibited by many variations of the invention as is shown above. The improved shale inhibition is primarily the result of the hydrophobic portions of the molecule and inhibition increases with the amount of styrene in the backbone. The invention appears to provide shale inhibition by reducing the permeability of aqueous solutions in reactive shales.

The invention also shows improved results in bulk cuttings hardness test which is a modification of a standard industry analysis. In this experiment 30 g of sieved Oxford Clay cuttings (2-4 mm) were put in 200 mls of the test fluid for 2 hours. The test fluid is drained off and the cuttings are put into a press cell. The cuttings are then pressed through a plate with holes and the amount of torque required is measured with every turn of the torque gauge. The plateau torque is quoted as the cuttings hardness. Table 6 shows the results of tests conducted on a series of test samples in 12.9 % KCl. PEG and SMAC MPEG 500 samples contained 5 % w/v test additive.

TABLE 6. Bulk Cuttings hardness in 12.9 % KCl fluids.

Sample	Cuttings Hardness [N*m]
-----	-----
12.9 % KCl	1.6
PEG	8
SMAC MPEG 500	12
natural Oxford Clay (no fluid)	14

The results in Table 6 show that the MPEG grafted copolymers results in harder cuttings than the polyethylene glycol / KCl mud.

The results of clay dispersion, Hassler Cell and cuttings hardness tests clearly show the improved levels of inhibition

provided by fluids containing MPEG grafted SMAC copolymer in place of poly(alkylene oxides) in KCl based fluids.

5 The performance of the KCl / SMAC system was measured as a function of the KCl concentration, SMAC concentration and fluid conditions. The performance was found to increase with the concentration of KCl and SMAC up to a threshold value after which performance levelled. Tests conducted with Oxford clay found that the threshold concentration of KCl to be between 10  
10 and 14 % w/w. The threshold value for maximum performance of SMAC was between 1 and 2 % w/v.


SMAC performance increased substantially if residual maleic anhydride groups present were hydrolysed to the acid form. SMAC  
15 with maleic acid groups are sensitive to the pH of the fluid. The optimum shale recovery was found to occur at pH of 9. Excellent performance was observed for SMAC fluids in the pH range of 7 to 11. Shale inhibition declined rapidly above pH of 11.5

20

Replacement of the polyethylene glycol side chains with polypropylene glycol based side chains gave inferior results.

## CLAIMS

1. A shale-stabilizing additive comprising a polymer based on  
5 an olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbon with alkylene oxide  
based side chains added to a wellbore drilling or service  
fluid to be injected into subterranean formations.
2. The additive of Claim 1 wherein the polymer is a copolymer  
10 of an olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbon and an  
ethylenically unsaturated carboxylic acid, carboxylic acid  
salt or carboxylic acid anhydride with alkylene oxide based  
side chains.
- 15 3. The additive of claim 1 or 2, wherein the polymer is a  
copolymer of styrene or a styrene derivative and maleic  
anhydride with alkylene oxide based side chains.
4. The additive of any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the  
20 polymer has a molecular weight of from 5000 g/mol to  
100,000 g/mol.
5. The additive of any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the  
weight of the alkylene oxide based side chains is above 200  
25 g/mol.
6. The additive of any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the  
number of alkoxyates in the polymer side chain is up to  
60 units.  
30
7. The additive of any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the  
polymer has the molecular structure:



wherein M in each occurrence independently is hydrogen or -  
 $[\text{CH}_2\text{-CHR-O}]_n\text{-CH}_3$ , with R being  $\text{CH}_3$  or  $\text{CH}_2\text{-CH}_3$  or hydrogen,  
 5 with the proviso that at least some of the radicals M have  
 the meaning of  $-\text{[CH}_2\text{-CHR-O}]_n\text{-CH}_3$ , n is from 3 to 70,  
 preferably greater than 4 and less than 60, and X and Y  
 each independently are from 1 to 100.

- 10 8. The additive of claim 3, wherein the residual maleic  
 anhydride groups in the polymer are hydrolyzed.
9. Wellbore drilling or service fluid, said fluid comprising a  
 polymer based on an olefinically unsaturated hydrocarbon  
 15 with alkylene oxide based side chains to be brought into  
 contact with subterranean, specifically clayey formations.
10. The wellbore fluid of claim 9, being water based.
- 20 11. Use of a polymer based on an olefinically unsaturated  
 hydrocarbon with alkylene oxide based side chains for  
 stabilizing shale or clayey formations.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 99/02623

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 7 C09K7/02 C08F8/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C09K C08F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 306 449 A (SANDOZ AG ;SANDOZ AG (DE); SANDOZ AG (AT)) 8 March 1989 (1989-03-08) page 2, line 24 -page 3, line 6 examples A,B ---	1-8
X	DE 23 12 616 A (REICHOLD ALBERT CHEMIE AG) 26 September 1974 (1974-09-26) page 6, paragraph 2 claims 1,2 claim 3 ---	1-8
A		
X	US 5 739 212 A (ROSENBAUER HANS-GUENTER ET AL) 14 April 1998 (1998-04-14) column 1, line 58 -column 2, line 44 column 5, line 10 - line 13 ---	1,2,8,9, 11
	--- -/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

17 January 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

25/01/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel: (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx: 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Mini, A

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter.      nal Application No

PCT/GB 99/02623

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 3 332 872 A (DAVID T. OAKES) 25 July 1967 (1967-07-25) cited in the application the whole document -----	1-11
A	WO 91 16522 A (ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO) 31 October 1991 (1991-10-31) claims 3-6 -----	1-11

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/GB 99/02623

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0306449	A	08-03-1989	DE 3728786 A	09-03-1989
			DE 3800091 A	13-07-1989
			AT 102222 T	15-03-1994
			AU 2158588 A	02-03-1989
			CA 1337005 A	12-09-1989
			DE 3888054 D	07-04-1994
			ES 2051889 T	01-07-1994
			FI 883950 A	01-03-1989
			HK 132297 A	03-10-1997
			JP 1092212 A	11-04-1989
			JP 2032792 C	19-03-1996
			JP 7059613 B	28-06-1995
			US 5158996 A	27-10-1992
DE 2312616	A	26-09-1974	NONE	
US 5739212	A	14-04-1998	BR 9304967 A	28-06-1994
			CA 2110884 A	09-06-1994
			CN 1089273 A	13-07-1994
			CZ 9302664 A	13-07-1994
			DE 4338867 A	09-06-1994
			EP 0601536 A	15-06-1994
			HU 68372 A	28-06-1995
			JP 6211949 A	02-08-1994
			NO 934434 A	09-06-1994
			PL 301354 A	13-06-1994
US 3332872	A	25-07-1967	NONE	
WO 9116522	A	31-10-1991	CA 2080906 A	19-10-1991
			DE 69121886 D	10-10-1996
			DE 69121886 T	23-01-1997
			EP 0525037 A	03-02-1993
			NO 923963 A	27-11-1992
			US 5292367 A	08-03-1994